

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

LANCE REBERGER,	Case No. 3:13-cv-00071-MMD-VPC
Petitioner,	ORDER
v.	
STATE OF NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> ,	
Respondents.	

This counseled petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is before the Court on respondents’ second motion for extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss (ECF Nos. 85). The Court previously granted respondents’ request for a three-week extension of time based on counsel’s demanding schedule. (ECF No. 84; ECF No. 83.) Respondents’ current motion seeks another three-week extension for a similar reason—demands on counsel’s schedule.¹ (ECF 85.) Because the Court already granted an extension for a similar reason and because the Court endeavors to resolve the pending motion to dismiss by the end of March, the Court denies the requested three-week extension. However, the Court will give respondents until March 14, 2018, to file a reply in support of the motion to dismiss.

¹In fact, the only difference between the two declarations supporting the extension motions appears to be counsel’s progress in responding to a 250-page Fourth Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus due in another case on March 26, 2018. (Compare ECF No. 83, ¶ 2 with ECF No. 85, ¶ 2.)

1 It is therefore ordered that respondents' second motion for extension of time (ECF
2 No. 85) is granted in part.

3 DATED THIS 6th day of March 2018.

4
5 

6 MIRANDA M. DU
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28